

ADULT PRISONS & JAILS



<b>Auditor Information</b>			
<b>Auditor name:</b> Hubert L " Buddy" Kent			
<b>Address:</b> P.O. Box 515			
<b>Email:</b> auditorbuddykent@yahoo.com			
<b>Telephone number:</b> 850-509-1662			
<b>Date of facility visit:</b> September 9-10, 2015			
<b>Facility Information</b>			
<b>Facility name:</b> Madison Correctional Institution			
<b>Facility physical address:</b> 382 SW MCI Way, Madison, Florida 32340			
<b>Facility mailing address:</b> <i>(if different from above)</i> Same as above			
<b>Facility telephone number:</b> (850) 953-5300			
<b>The facility is:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> County
	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for profit
	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for profit		
<b>Facility type:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prison	<input type="checkbox"/> Jail	
<b>Name of facility's Chief Executive Officer:</b> John R. King			
<b>Number of staff assigned to the facility in the last 12 months:</b>			
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b> 818 Main Unit 192 Work Camp			
<b>Current population of facility:</b> 1160 Main Unit 273 Work Camp			
<b>Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:</b> Close to community custody			
<b>Age range of the population:</b> 20-82 years of age			
<b>Name of PREA Compliance Manager:</b> Shelley Bearden		<b>Title:</b>	Assistant
<b>Email address:</b> bearden.shelley@mail.dc.state.fl.us		<b>Telephone number:</b>	850-953-5300
<b>Agency Information</b>			
<b>Name of agency:</b> Florida Department of Corrections			
<b>Governing authority or parent agency:</b> <i>(if applicable)</i> State of Florida			
<b>Physical address:</b> 501 South Calhoun Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32999			
<b>Mailing address:</b> <i>(if different from above)</i>			
<b>Telephone number:</b> 850-487-2875			
<b>Agency Chief Executive Officer</b>			
<b>Name:</b> Julie Jones		<b>Title:</b>	Secretary
<b>Email address:</b> jones.julie@mail.dc.state.fl.us		<b>Telephone number:</b>	(850)717-3030
<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator</b>			
<b>Name:</b> Kendra Prisk		<b>Title:</b>	PREA Coordinator
<b>Email address:</b> prisk.kendra@mail.dc.state.fl.us		<b>Telephone number:</b>	(850)717-3303

## AUDIT FINDINGS

### NARRATIVE

The audit team proceeded to the conference room in the Administration building. The team expressed the appreciation for the opportunity to be involved with Madison Correctional Institution in the PREA process. The following persons were in attendance:

John R. King, Warden  
Shelly Bearden, Assistant Warden-Programs

After a brief discussion about the audit, the team proceeded to the compound for a facility tour. The tour of the facility was conducted on September 9, 2015 from 9:30 am to 12:30 noon. There are a total of 28 buildings on the Main Unit grounds and a total of ten buildings at the Madison Work Camp. The design capacity for the Main Unit is 1350 with the average daily population for the previous twelve months being 1167. The age range of the inmates assigned to the Main Unit is from 20 to 82 years of age and the average length of stay is 2.31 years with the custody level of the inmate population ranging from close to community. The design capacity for the Madison Work Camp is 192 with a lawful capacity of 285. During the audit the population at the Madison Main Unit was 1160 and the Madison Work Camp population was 273 making the total amount of inmates on site during the audit 1433. During the previous twelve months of the audit there have been 1922 inmates assigned to Madison Correctional Institution for 72 hours or more. There were 233 inmates at Madison Main Unit during the audit that had been assigned to the facility prior to August 20, 2012. There is a total of 314 staff assigned of which 40 were hired during the past twelve months.

The areas toured were a total of one multi occupancy cell housing unit, eight open bay dormitory housing units and various departments within the secured perimeter. The various departments toured were Classification, Food Service, Medical, Mental Health, and Security. Segregation/Confinement units are 44 cells in L dorm wing one and 46 cells in Y housing unit utilized for disciplinary and administrative segregation. Segregation cells are double cell for a total bed capacity of 180. The Inmates are placed into Administrative Confinement pending disciplinary charges, pending protection needs (short term, no long term at this facility) and pending investigation.

Upon arrival for the audit, a listing of all inmates by housing assignment and a staff listing by shift assignments of staff currently working with inmates was requested. A list of all inmates currently housed at the facility that have had a PREA case was also requested. From these listings, one inmate from each housing unit, two segregated inmates, one who reported sexual abuse or harassment, two listed as non-heterosexual, two hearing impaired, and two speech impaired inmates were randomly selected. One inmate with limited English speaking proficiency was interviewed utilizing the language line. There are no youthful inmates assigned to the facilities. There are 21 self-reported gay/bisexual inmates and no trans-gender or inter-sex inmates assigned to Madison CI. There are three self reported gay/bisexual inmates assigned to the Work Camp. A total of 22 inmate interviews were conducted. Fifteen random staff interviews were conducted and included staff from all work shifts and all areas of the facilities. The Specialized Staff Interviews included twelve interviews for staff designated as: Intermediate/higher-level, Medical, Mental-Health, Contractor, Investigative, Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness, Supervisors in Segregation, Incident Review Team, Monitors Retaliation, First Responder Security, First Responder Non-Security, Intake Staff and a Volunteer. During the tour the auditor randomly spoke with fourteen staff and 22 inmates. The multi-occupancy unit houses 176 inmates in two wings. One cell unit is a T shape and known as T Building this unit has three wings and a total of 132 cells or total population of 258.

## **DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS**

The Madison Correctional Institution is located in Madison, Florida. Madison is a city within Madison County, Florida and serves as the county seat. The city of Madison is approximately 56 miles east of Tallahassee, Florida. The address of the facility is 382 SW MCI Way, Madison, Florida, 32340. The Madison Correctional Institution was established in 1989 in response to the rapid increase in the Florida prison population.

The Madison Correctional Institution follows the stated mission of the Florida Department of Corrections which is "to promote safety of the public, our staff and offenders by providing security, supervision, and care, offering opportunities for successful re-entry into society, and capitalizing on partnerships to continue to improve the quality of life in Florida."

The physical plant of the Madison Correctional Institution is divided into five major sections. The Main Unit is encircled with a double perimeter fence and houses inmates with community, minimum, medium and close custody. The Main Unit is divided into one outer and three inner compounds separated by security fencing with designated entry/exit points. The outer compound includes administrative office space and the armory.

The first inner compound includes space and equipment for the medical department, classification, security offices, Food service, prison industries, segregation, library, education, chapel and laundry. The second inner compound contains seven dormitory-style housing units utilizing an open-bay bed configuration and one T shaped living unit which contain three wings of cell-type double occupancy housing. Support buildings within the Second Compound contain space for the inmate canteens, inmate barber shop, caustic/toxic supply storage, shift supervisor's office and staff barber shop. There are two covered pavilions with concrete tables within this area.

The Third inner Compound contains space and equipment for recreational activities such as basketball, horseshoes, softball, soccer, and shuffle board.

There is another stand-alone fenced in housing area designated as the Work Camp. The Work camp contains four dormitories which house up to 285 inmates in open-bay dormitories. Inmates assigned to the Work Camp have either community or minimum custody and perform duties outside the secure perimeter.

## **SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS**

115.12 Not Applicable

115.14 Not Applicable

115.18 Not Applicable

Number of standards exceeded: 1

Number of standards met: 37

Number of standards not met: 2

Number of standards not applicable: 3



**Standard 115.11 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA Coordinator**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility meets the standard based on the policy 602.053. This was confirmed in staff and inmate interviews. All were aware of the Zero tolerance policy. Staff was aware of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment. Inmates received training upon arrival to the facility. Staff has been trained and is trained annually during in service training. The department has an agency wide coordinator. 99 percent plus of her work time is spent on PREA. She coordinates with the other 49 institutional PREA managers. The PREA Coordinator was very knowledgeable about the PREA requirements and was considered very effective in meeting the requirements of PREA. Institutional PREA Manager is the Assistant Warden for Programs.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection and Response Page 2 Section 2

**Standard 115.12 Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Not applicable the facility does not have oversight of contract facilities

### Standard 115.13 Supervision and monitoring

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The facility operates at Level I staffing levels. Procedure 602.030 states Level II posts are essential to the daily normal operation of a facility. Operating at Level II allows all activities and programs to be marginally staffed. Level I posts are critical for the daily operation of a shift. The post chart shows one sergeant and one officer per housing unit. One of the two is then assigned secondary duties to provide security coverage for the yard, recreation, dining hall or canteen lines. This leaves one officer on the unit. They are assigned to the officer station. Routines rounds are not being made due to level I staffing. There are many assigned in TEA class. TEA's are not allowed to directly supervise inmates without direct supervision.

(1) SECURITY STAFFING LEVELS:

Level I posts are critical for the daily operation of a shift. Operating at Level I may include limiting certain activities such as recreation or work squads. (The Duty Warden must grant her/his approval to eliminate or delay any of these daily activities.) Level I posts will not be utilized for special assignments, extended special assignments, or loans to other departments on a routine basis

Daily housing logs reflect shift supervisors making unannounced rounds on all shifts.

### Standard 115.14 Youthful inmates

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Madison Correctional Institution has no youthful offenders. It is an adult facility. Standard is Not Applicable.

### Standard 115.15 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy prohibits visual body searches and body cavity searches by the opposite sex. A review of search logs confirmed no cross gender visual body searches or body cavity searches were performed.

Dormitory housed inmates are provided privacy while showering (half walls) and while using the bathroom. Privatization screens were issued to the units for use. Female staff announce each time they enter into the housing units. This process was verified during the tour and with the interviews conducted with the inmates and staff. Housing Logs show the announcement made at the beginning of each shift.

Shower doors do not prohibit cross gender viewing.

Half walls at the Madison Work Camp are not high enough to prevent cross gender viewing.

The shower cell and half walls were corrected to compliance on December 31, 2015. 115.15 is in compliance.

115.15 (a) -1: 33-602.204 Section 1a, 2a, 4, 3a, 3d pages 1-2

602.018 Section 2 pages 4-5

602.036 Section 2 pages 2-4

### Standard 115.16 Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The department has established procedures to provide inmates with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The auditor interviewed a limited English proficient inmate utilizing the Language Line. He indicated that staff has provided him information on PREA reporting. The auditor verified a staff translator list was available. The department has a contract with Language Line for all languages. The language line is available for use by staff when a staff translator is not available. There are posters in English and Spanish posted next to the telephones. Policy prohibits the use of inmate interpreters except in emergency situations or the inmate's safety would be compromised. Staff and inmate interviews all supported that inmates would not be relied on as translators.

115.16 (a) -1 602.053 Sections 2e2 & 2e3 Page 8

### Standard 115.17 Hiring and promotion decisions

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Departmental Policy for Background Investigation and Appointment of Certified Officers (208.049) does not allow hiring or promotions of anyone who has been convicted of sexual abuse in prison/jail or in community. Background checks are done on all employees, contractors and volunteers. Background checks (FCIC/NCIS) are conducted on all new hires. The department is connected as a level II employer and any arrest is provided to the department upon entry into the system. All volunteer and contract re-checks are completed annually and are up to date. According to the interview of the Central Office Human Resource person that handles all requests for employees seeking employment any criminal history is reported to the prospective employing institution.

115.17 (a) -1 208.049 Sections 4a, 4c & 4d Pages 6 & 7  
115.17 (a) -1: 208.049 6b Page 11  
115.17(a)-1 208.049 7a & 7d Pages 11 & 12  
115.17(a)-1 208.049 8a2 & 8b Page 13

### Standard 115.18 Upgrades to facilities and technologies

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012

### Standard 115.21 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Department of Corrections has a current agreement with SART to conduct evaluations. The Inspector confirmed the Chain of Evidence Protocol during the interview process. Policy is no co pay for any PREA incident or follow-up. Mental health services are provided by Corizion staff. Corizion staff provides follow up counseling. Currently there is not an outside agreement to provide crisis counseling and victim advocacy services.

The Inspector General's Office is responsible for all investigations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Inspectors were trained by the Moss Group to conduct sexual assault investigations. Office of Inspector General conducts the sexual assault investigations determined to be criminal investigations.

During the past twelve months, there were nineteen allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment received. Each was investigated administratively.

Procedure 108.015 Section 7b, 7e, 7g – 7i, 7l, 7r, & 7u Pages 5-6, 9b3 Page 7, 9b9 & 9b10 Page 8  
Procedure 602.053 Section 4a5, Page 10, 5 a-g Pages 11 & 12

### Standard 115.22 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Interviews with Administrative staff and investigative staff corroborate that all reports of sexual abuse or harassment are reported to the OIG office. Procedure 108.015 covers sexual abuse and harassment investigations. The department ensures that an administrative and/or a criminal investigation are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as defined in policy FDC 108.015. During the past twelve months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment that were received was nineteen. During the past twelve months, the number of allegations, resulting in administrative investigation was nineteen. During the past twelve months, the number of allegations referred for criminal investigation was zero.

Procedure 108.015 Page 7-8 Inspector Responsibilities

### Standard 115.31 Employee training

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

All staff are trained on the Agency policy on zero tolerance and their responsibilities to fulfill their obligations including the searching of trans-gender or inter-sex inmates. Each employee, regardless of his or her position, is trained as a first responder. Each housing unit has a laminated poster in the officer station outlining responsibilities in responding to allegations of sexual abuse. Interviews of random staff and general questions asked during the tour clearly indicated staff understanding of all aspects of responding to allegations of sexual abuse.

Training records, staff interviews and curriculum reviewed indicated that the staff at Madison Correctional Institution is trained. In the past twelve months, 314 of 314 employees assigned to the facility were trained on the PREA requirements. Employees sign and state that they understand the training they receive.

115.31 (a) 1: Procedure 602.053 Section 2c Pages 7 & 8

### Standard 115.32 Volunteer and contractor training

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

All volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibility under department policies. In the past twelve months, there have been 44 volunteers and contractors who have been trained in the agency's policies. The facility maintains documentation of volunteer training in the chaplain's office. Medical maintains their training files in the medical department. Volunteers and contract staff interviewed discussed the agency zero policy and articulated how to respond to an allegation of sexual assault.

115.32 (a) 1: Procedure 602.057 Section 1g1 Page 5



### Standard 115.33 Inmate education

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Intake process was observed the inmate handbook was reviewed and interviews with staff and inmates revealed that inmates receive information at the time of intake about the zero tolerance policy and how to report instances of, or suspicions of sexual abuse or harassment. During the last twelve months 1922 inmates were given this information at intake. Departmental policy also requires that inmates that were transferred to Madison Correctional Institution are educated regarding their rights to be free from sexual abuse, harassment and retaliation. Documentation is made of the inmate's participation in these educational sessions.

115.33 (c)-3: Procedure 601.210 Section 1a Page 2, 1c2 Page 3, 1d Page 3, 2c Page 3, 3 Page 4, 4a, 4b3, 4d Pages 4 & 5, 5b, 5c, 5g, 5h Pages 5 & 6

### Standard 115.34 Specialized training: Investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

A review of the Inspector's training record along with his interview indicated they received specialized training, in addition to general training provided to all employees. The training included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.

115.34 Procedure 108.015 Page 10 & 11

### Standard 115.35 Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly at Madison Correctional Institution are trained. The percent of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility and have received training by the agency policy is 100%.

115.35 Procedure 602.053 page 7 &8

### Standard 115.41 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department has embarked on an aggressive initiative to reduce in-cell violence between inmates. The focal point of this initiative is to ensure inmates considered predators or potential predators are housed appropriately. Inmates that can be considered a danger to others should not be housed in cells with inmates who can be considered potential victims. To that end, a major system identifies these types of inmates as well as inmates that may be sexual aggressors or victims. The system is IBAS (Inmate Behavioral Assessment Scale). The Department utilizes a complex web system designed by the Bureau of Classification Management to identify potential inmate predators, prey and those inmates at risk for sexual violence either as an aggressor or as a victim. This is a multi-tiered system that performs a variety of significant functions including ensuring appropriate housing of identified and potential predators and sexual aggressors. Criteria to include past violent convictions, violent disciplinary reports, STG affiliation, release dates, as well height and weight differentials are considered when housing inmates. The housing officer must review and approve any bed changes made. There are no inmates identified as aggressors and one listed as potential victim.

In the past twelve months there were 1922 inmates entering the facility whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other inmates within 72 hours of their entry into the facility. There were seventeen reassessments during the previous twelve months.

### Standard 115.42 Use of screening information

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The auditor interviewed members of the intake staff and classification staff to question them on how the IBAS system is used to determine work/housing and education assignments. Each explained how it was used in detail considering victimization among other things. The placement of trans-gender and/or inter-sex inmates is done only after a Medical Review Committee has reviewed the case. Trans-gender/Inter-sex inmates receive a face-to-face review within fourteen days of arrival, are assessed biannually and anytime in which their program assignment, work assignment, discipline record as well as their views with respect to their safety is questioned. Additionally, inmates who are identified as at high risk for perpetration or victimization are monitored through the IBAS system, to ensure inmates are not assigned to work, housing or education assignments in a manner that might increase their propensity for abuse.

FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 6 Section 2A2, 2A3, 2A6, 2A8; Page 7 Section 2A9-2A11

### Standard 115.43 Protective custody

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The administrative segregation unit is housed in L housing unit wing one. Policy states inmates at high risk for sexual victimization shall not be placed in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available means of separation from likely abusers. Policy states inmates placed in this status shall be reviewed every seven days for the first 60 days and 30 days thereafter. Review shows there were eight inmates in protective status for over 30 days during the past year. Each was reviewed per policy.

FAC 33-602.220 Page 1 Section 1A,2A,2B; Page. 2 Section 3C; Page 3 Section 3C-3G, Page 4 Section 4D; Page 5 Section 5A-5G; Page 6 Section 5H-5P; Page 8 Section 8A-8C and 9A.

### Standard 115.51 Inmate reporting

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

A review of documentation indicated that there are multiple ways (including privately and anonymously) for inmates to report sexual abuse or harassment. The officers interviewed stated staff and inmates may privately report any abuse, harassment, or neglect verbally, in writing, anonymously or to a third party. Staff will immediately document any allegation on incident report. Posters and other documents on display throughout the facility also explain the reporting procedures. The PREA pamphlet and the inmate handbook address this standard. During the intake process the reporting process is empathized encouraging inmates to report any incident to staff immediately.

FDC Procedure 602.053  
FAC 33-103.006 Filing a Formal Grievance

### Standard 115.52 Exhaustion of administrative remedies

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Inmates may file a grievance; however, all allegations of abuse or harassment, when received by staff, would immediately result in the opening of a formal investigation (criminal or administrative). This process would not involve staff who may be the subject of the complaint. FAC 33-103.006 states "If the inmate or third party is filing a grievance involving sexual abuse, it shall be clearly stated in the first line of the grievance that it is a grievance related to sexual abuse. Also on Form DC1-303 the third party filer shall check the box next to Third Party Grievance Alleging Sexual Abuse. If this statement is not included in the grievance and if the third party box is not checked, the grievance shall be responded to. This will not be a reason to return the grievance without action to the filer". There have been eighteen grievances involving PREA related issues filed during the previous year.

FDC Procedure 602.053  
FAC 33-103.006 Page 2 Section 1C, 1E, Page 3 Section 1H, Section 1L

### **Standard 115.53 Inmate access to outside confidential support services**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department initiated a Request for Purchase (RFP) to solicit the public for outside confidential victim support services. The Department will follow the proper protocols for soliciting bids for service and will award a contract to the best vendor. The vendor will then provide a hot-line, a mailing address and telephone and in-person counseling sessions (as appropriate) to inmates who require emotional support services due to sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

### **Standard 115.54 Third-party reporting**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The department has third party reporting of sexual abuse or sexual harassment through the DCWEB through the OIG reporting mailbox and also on the DC website via the third party grievance process. Inmate and staff acknowledged both during interviews. The TIPS line is the reporting line with the telephone system. The third party grievance form is available on line at [www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/inmates/prea-grievances.html](http://www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/inmates/prea-grievances.html). Citizens may report by accessing the OIG web site <http://www.dc.state.fl.us/apps/igcomplaint.asp>

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response

### Standard 115.61 Staff and agency reporting duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure requires all staff to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment; and for staff not to reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than extent necessary. Interviews with inmates and staff did not reveal any incident of sexual abuse or harassment not reported.

Staff interviewed was aware that they must immediately report allegations of abuse, harassment, retaliation, or neglect relevant to PREA. Compliance with all aspects of the standard was verified through a review of the policy and staff / inmate interviews.

FS 944.35 Page 2 Section 2D Section 4A-4C  
Staff Training Curriculum PREA Pages. 3-4 Section 18, Section 19

### Standard 115.62 Agency protection duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Each staff member interviewed, from the Warden to the line staff person, indicated that when he or she learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, they take immediate action to protect the inmate. It starts with removing the inmate from potential danger, notifying their supervisor and preserving any evidence. Each housing unit had a poster in the officer station outlining the responsibilities of the first responders.

Documentation shows there were eight times that staff removed inmates from population due to a risk of sexual abuse.

FAC 33-602.220 Page 1 Section 2A-2B; Page 2 Section 3C; Page 3 Section 3F-3G; Page 4 Section 4D  
FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 7/Section B5; Page 9 Section 3C; Page 9-10 Section 4A, Section 4A2



### Standard 115.63 Reporting to other confinement facilities

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental policy requires when an inmate alleges he was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden that received the allegation shall notify the Warden where the alleged abuse occurred within 72 hours after receiving the allegation. Interviews of the Warden, Assistant Warden and PREA Compliance manager demonstrated they knew the procedures to follow.

There were no alleged incidents at other institutions reported during the intake process to staff.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response

### Standard 115.64 Staff first responder duties

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure clearly specifies procedures to respond to an allegation of sexual abuse for both security and non-security staff. All staff is trained as first responders. The interviews conducted on all shifts with custody and non-custody staff demonstrated that regardless of their position staff knew the policy and practice. Staff was very cognizant about ensuring safety and well-being of an alleged victim while insuring that physical evidence is not destroyed or contaminated. Review of investigative files further demonstrated security and non-security staff knew what to do as the first responder to a sexual assault. Training records and staff interviews confirm the staff is trained as first responders.

In the past twelve months there were nineteen allegations of sexually abuse or sexual harassment. In each case the staff member receiving the allegation separated the alleged victim and abuser. There were ten reports of sexual abuse or harassment reported to non security staff via the grievance process.

FDC Procedure 08.015 Pg. 5-7/Sec. 7A-7L, 7R, 7U

FDC Procedure 602.053 Pg. 10/Sec.4A4

PREA Staff Training Curriculum Pg. 2/Sec. 21-23; Pg. 3/Sec. 21-22; Pg. 4-6/Sec. 22-2

### Standard 115.65 Coordinated response

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Madison Correctional has an institutional plan, which outlines what is to take place in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical, and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. Interviews with specialized staff confirmed they were knowledgeable about their individual and collaborative responsibilities.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response

### Standard 115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The security agreement effective January 14, 2014 covers the discipline of staff on Page 13 article 7. Contracts were reviewed the PREA language was included in the contract. All new and renewed contracts are identified as PREA covered contracts when appropriate. These contracts will include the following language to ensure compliance with 28 C.F.R. Part 115, "The contract/vendor(s) will comply with the national standards to prevent, detect, and respond to prison rape under the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), Federal Rule 28 C.F.R. Par 115. The contractor/vendor(s) will also comply with all of the Florida Department of Corrections' (FDC) policies and procedures that relate to PREA."

Collective Bargaining Agreement Pg. 14/Art. 7, Sec. 1A; Pg. 17/Art. 7, Sec. 2G  
FS 110.227 Pg. 1/Sec. 1; Pg. 2-3/Sec. 5B

### Standard 115.67 Agency protection against retaliation

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Departmental procedure describes the policy and practice to be followed to ensure that there is no retaliation against any inmate or staff member who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Assistant Warden of Programs is the staff member charged to ensure compliance to this policy. Classification staff monitors the conduct or treatment of inmates who reported sexual abuse and who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff. Classification staff receives an appointment (IM05) to interview the inmate every 30 days. They conduct periodic checks up to 90 days but beyond if the Assistant Warden of Programs feels the situation requires it. With inmate retaliation the Classification staff looks at inmate disciplinary reports, housing, and or program status changes. The Assistant Warden of Programs monitors staff by reviewing performance reviews or reassignments and shift changes.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 10 Section 4A7, Page 9-10 Section 4A page 9 Section 3C

### Standard 115.68 Post-allegation protective custody

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The department has a policy prohibiting the placement of inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. Interviews with the Warden, Segregation Supervisor and some Segregation line staff all indicated that segregation has not been used during the last twelve months to protect an alleged victim. Policy states inmates placed in this status shall be reviewed every seven days for the first 60 days and 30 days thereafter. eight Inmates were placed in administrative confinement on a PREA allegation in the past year for over 30 days. The institutional classification team reviewed the inmates placement every 30 days.

FAC 33-602.220 Administrative Segregation

## Standard 115.71 Criminal and administrative agency investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department of Corrections conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, it does so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports. Third party and anonymous reports are treated no different than a first person report. The Senior Inspector conducts all investigations immediately on being notified of the allegation. According to his interview the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person's status as inmate or staff. The inspector's training records and interview demonstrated the special training they received from the Moss Group and the department trainers. Investigators are responsible for gathering and preserving direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator. When the preponderance of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the Office of the Inspector General conducts compelling interviews only after consulting with the state attorney as to whether compelling interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. A substantiated allegation of conduct that appears to be criminal is referred for prosecution. Administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse and document in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. Criminal investigations document in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible.

FDC Procedure 108.003 Pas. 2-7; Pa. 8/Sec. 1A 1B 1D; Pa. 11/Sec. 4A; Pa. 13/Sec. 4K; Sec. 6; Pa. 19-20/Sec. 13A 13B 13E 13G; Pa.

## Standard 115.72 Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Investigative Procedure indicates that only a preponderance of evidence is the standard when determining allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is substantiated. During the interview with the Inspector he indicated that this is the threshold used by inspectors in their investigations.

FDC Procedure 108.003 page 3 Section 20, page 5 Section 39, page 6 Section 43-44, Page 7 Section 54-55, page 11 Section 5E, Page 16 Section 8  
FDC 108.015 Investigative Process

### Standard 115.73 Reporting to inmates

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The departmental policy requires that any inmate who makes an allegation that he suffered sexual abuse or sexual harassment in a facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. If there were any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse by a staff member, the inmate would be informed in writing to include whenever: the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit; the staff member is no longer employed at the facility; the agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. If the inmate was alleged to have been sexually abused by another inmate, the investigator informs the alleged victim whenever: the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

FDC 602.053 page 14 Section 9  
FDC 108.015 Page 10 Section 11B, 11D

### Standard 115.76 Disciplinary sanctions for staff

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Florida Statute 944.35 describes the penalty for staff involved in sexual abuse as; termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction. There were eight allegations that were classified as either staff sexual harassment or staff sexual misconduct. The investigations were seven unfounded and one investigation ongoing.

Florida Statute 944.35 Page 2 Section 2B1-2B3 Section 3D, Section 4A-4B  
FAC 60L-36.005 (3) d-g

### Standard 115.77 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The employee code of conduct policy applies to contractors and volunteers. It requires contractors or volunteers who engaged in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies. The Warden reported that have been no allegations of sexual abuse by contractors or volunteers. Interviews with contractors and volunteers confirmed they were aware of the zero tolerance policy for engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates or staff. There have been no incidents with any contractors or volunteers at Madison CI.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response  
FDC 205.002 Contract Administration and Management 205.002 Page 24 Section 15C4

### Standard 115.78 Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Inmate handbook states “There is no such thing as legal consensual sex in prison. Department of Corrections policy prohibits sexual behavior between inmates”. Disciplinary policy stipulates that inmate-on-inmate sexual activity (not forced) will result in a disciplinary report be written for violation of 9-7 Sex acts or unauthorized physical contact involving inmates. This report results in a disciplinary hearing being held on the inmate within the facility.

FAC 33-601.301 Page 1 Section 1 Inmate Discipline  
FAC 33-601.314 Pages 1-3 Inmate Discipline



**Standard 115.81 Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure requires that any inmate reporting any prior victimization or indicating sexual abuse be seen no longer than fourteen days after arrival. Any inmate received at Madison CI and makes any reference to victimization and perpetrated sexual abuse is seen by medical/ mental health usually right after intake interview or the next day. Medical and Mental Health staff get informed consent before reporting prior sex victimization, which took place not in an institutional setting.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 12 Section 6A-6B

**Standard 115.82 Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedures mandate the inmate victims of sexual abuse receive immediate access to medical and mental health services. Corizon Medical Services provides this service. Inmates receive information and timely access to contraception and to sexually transmitted infection prophylaxis. There is no cost for forensic exams or treatment to the inmate. There have been no inmates see for a SART examination at the time of the audit.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Pages 12-13 Section 6C-6F  
Health Services Bulletin 15.03.36  
FDC 401.010 Page 3 Section 1D9

### **Standard 115.83 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Procedure 602.053 requires all inmates be offered medical and mental health evaluations to those who were sexually abused in any prison, jail or juvenile facility including follow up services. Inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. Madison Correctional Institution through Corizon offers mental health services to all known inmate abusers, if appropriate. The facility also offers mental health service to all known inmate victims as well. Treatment services are provided to the victim without financial cost. This practice was confirmed by interviews with Medical and Mental Health staff.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 12-13 Section 6C, 6E-6F  
Health Services Bulletin 15.03.36

### **Standard 115.86 Sexual abuse incident reviews**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

Policy requires post incident reviews are done within 30 days of the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation except were the allegation was unfounded. This was confirmed in interviews with the Investigator, Warden and PREA Compliance Manager. The Assistant Warden Programs PREA Compliance Manager is to document the review in a report, including recommendations for improvements, if any to the Warden. The incident review team is made up of the Assistant Warden of Programs, Chief of Security and the Classification Supervisor. The review team gets input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. All incidents reported at Madison were unfounded.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 3-4 Section 17-18 page 14-15 Section 12

### Standard 115.87 Data collection

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The agency provided documents demonstrating data was being collected, aggregated and maintained. Data is collected using the MINS system. This collects data on all PREA cases. The PREA Coordinator and staff have access to information in MINS. The information is aggregated for all to be placed in Annual Report. The report was completed and provided to DOJ February 2015.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 2 Section 6 Page 3-4 Section 17 page 13 Section 7  
2014 Annual Report

### Standard 115.88 Data review for corrective action

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The Department PREA coordinator reviews the data collected to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, and to identify problem areas and take corrective action. The Institution PREA Compliance Manager ensures the data collected on sexual abuse and sexual harassment is forwarded to the PREA Coordinator monthly. The PREA Coordinator ensures the information is provided for purposes of departmental reporting. An annual report is prepared.

FDC 602.053 Prison Rape: Prevention, Detection, and Response Page 2 Section 6 Page 3-4 Section 17 page 13 Section 7  
2014 Corrective Action Plan

**Standard 115.89 Data storage, publication, and destruction**

- Exceeds Standard (substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective action)

**Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.**

The department ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. Departmental policy requires that aggregated sexual abuse data from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts be made readily available to the public at least annually through its website. Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the department removes all personal identifiers. The department maintains sexual abuse data collected following state statute.

Up to date survey information is submitted and verified by the PREA Coordinator. In addition to keeping the paper documents according to retention schedule a retention folder is located on the computer at cos201\PREA Retention.

FDC Procedure 602.053 Page 13 Section 7 Page 14 Section 10-11  
115.89 (b)-1 Survey of Sexual Violence Part B

**AUDITOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Hubert L. "Buddy" Kent

02/19/2016

\_\_\_\_\_  
Auditor Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date